

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/075,715             | CHOPP ET AL.        |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Shirley V. Gembeh      | 1614                |  |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Shirley V. Gembeh.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Amy E. Renaldo.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 September 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Discussed rejection: 102 and 103*

**Claims discussed:**

*1-13*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Yes*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed the evidence in the prior art (Moscowitz) and how using the compound first disclosed by Moscowitz) same compound claimed by applicant would have had the same function as claimed by applicant ie., promote neurogenesis.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)